

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

GWEDOLYN CARTER,

Plaintiff,

vs.

FORT BEND INDEPENDENT SCHOOL  
DISTRICT, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:17-cv-01930-RFB-CWH

**ORDER**

13 Presently before the court is Defendant Richard L. Muller's Motion to Stay Proceedings  
14 Pending this Court's Rulings on Defendants' Dispositive Motions (ECF No. 89), filed on October  
15 6, 2017. Defendants Yolanda Humphrey and Perdue, Brandon, Fielder, Collins & Mott, LLP filed  
16 a joinder (ECF No. 91) to the motion on October 9, 2017. Defendant Ford Bend County Municipal  
17 Utility District #2 filed a joinder (ECF No. 93) to the motion on October 10, 2017. Plaintiff  
18 Gwendolyn Carter and the other defendants did not respond to the motion.


19 Defendant Muller requests that the court stay this case, including the deadline to respond to  
20 Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment (ECF No. 61), pending the court's rulings on Defendants'  
21 various motions to dismiss (ECF Nos. 15, 29, 38, 51, 54, 71) for lack of personal jurisdiction, lack  
22 of subject matter jurisdiction, insufficient service of process, and other defenses. Defendant Muller  
23 argues that the just, speedy, and inexpensive determination of this case would not be promoted by  
24 requiring any further action on the part of the defendants at this time. Given that the motion is  
25 unopposed, the court will grant it. *See* Local Rule 7-2(d) (stating that "[t]he failure of an opposing  
26 party to file points and authorities in response to any motion, except a motion under Fed. R. Civ. P.  
27 56 or a motion for attorney's fees, constitutes a consent to the granting of the motion.")

28 ///

1 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Defendant Richard L. Muller's Motion to Stay  
2 Proceedings Pending this Court's Rulings on Defendants' Dispositive Motions (ECF No. 89) is  
3 GRANTED. All deadlines in this case are stayed pending the United States district judge's rulings  
4 on the pending motions to dismiss.

5 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if the court denies any of the pending motions to dismiss,  
6 the remaining parties must meet and confer and file a proposed discovery plan and scheduling order  
7 with 21 days of the court's ruling on the relevant motion to dismiss.

8  
9 DATED: October 30, 2017

10  
11  
12   
13 C.W. Hoffman, Jr.  
14 United States Magistrate Judge  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28